DNS Pentesting

Sunny Neo Santosh Ananthakrishnan

Recap

 DNS can be used as a communication channel - not just for name resolution

DNS TXT record based XSS attack

 Building tools that use this channel for stealthy pentesting!

Our Work

• UDP Hole Punching with DNS

- Staging exploit over DNS
- DNS performance as a channel

UDP Hole Punching

• A common technique for 2 clients to establish connection behind NAT

 A common misconception that clients behind NAT are not able to provide services without port forwarding

NAT "Security Feature"





IP: 202.201.201.201

What if



What if



Why this works

Legitimate DNS query and response

 Most NAT does not implement IP Address/Port Restriction for mapping

• Could this be used for P2P Malware?

Stagers

- Small exploits are typically *inline* the payload is delivered with the exploit as shellcode
- Tools that abstract out the payload delivery channel from the payload itself are called stagers



CnC



Staging over DNS

- The stager stealthily polls an A-server controlled by the CNC
- When a stage is ready, the A-server responds with the number of chunks to fetch encoded in the last two bytes of the response IP address
- 141.232.01.10 = 266 chunks

Staging over DNS

- The stager then fetches these chunks at random, also over DNS
- <encoded req for chunk N>.evildom.com
- The response includes several resource records (RRs)
- Each RR corresponds to an encoded block of bytes

Staging over DNS

- Subsequent requests can be made far apart - days or more!
- Eventually re-assemble and execute payload
- Use dynamic DNS providers for even more indirection



Potential Problems

• Analysis of DNS requests

- CDNs and other abusive services have *huge* volume
- The stager can slow down queries arbitrarily
- Slow Transfer Rate
 - This is obviously only for cases where there are no other channels, or when no direct TCP connections are to be made to the CnC, tradeoff.

Performance

• How good is DNS as a channel, really?

| | Receiver | | | | |
|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | NJ 1 | NJ 2 | LA | NLD | JPN |
| NJ 1 | - | 2981/2981 | 2888/2889 | 2964/2964 | 3053/3054 |
| NJ 2 | 3016/3016 | - | 3100/3101 | 2734/2735 | 3054/3054 |
| LA | 2901/2941 | 2932/2975 | - | 2938/2942 | 2712/2712 |
| NLD | 3038/3038 | 2771/2772 | 2724/2724 | - | 2791/2791 |
| JPN | 2551/2552 | 2886/2886 | 2836/2838 | 2887/2887 | - |
| JPN | 2551/2552 | 2886/2886 | 2836/2838 | 2887/2887 | - |

UDP Reliability (Source: http://openmymind.net/How-Unreliable-Is-UDP/)

References

[1]<u>http://en.wikipedia.</u> org/wiki/UDP_hole_punching

[2]http://resources.infosecinstitute.com/udphole-punching/